Automation, long-term structural change in the labour market and fertility

Anna Matysiak¹ - Daniela Bellani² - Honorata Bogusz¹



1 University of Warsaw
 2 Scuola Normale Superiore





Labour market and fertility

- Labour market: source of income (also social status, prestige)
- Employment uncertainty
 - Individual LM situation
 - LM conditions (country / region) / Economic recession
 - Feeling of economic uncertainty
- Work family conflict
- Institutional context: welfare policies, social norms

Here-and-now measures cyclical

in LabFam

Structural change in the labour market

New opportunities





New risks













GLOBALIZATION

TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE

_່ທໍ່LabFam



Job destruction

- Arntz et al. (2017): around 10 14% of jobs will be fully replaced by robots and for 25% - 32% around 50-70% of tasks will be automated in the next two decades
- US: 1 robot / 1000 workers reduces the employment rate by 0.2 pp. and wages by about 0.42% (Acemoglu and Restrepo 2020)
- Europe: negative effects on employment of low and middle educated workers (Graetz and Michaels 2018)
- Job loss mainly in manufacturing and among low and middle educated workers (Jung and Lim 2020, de Vries et al. 2020)





Job creation

- New jobs and job tasks
- Growing demand for services (Kariel 2021)
- Productivity effect: investment in product development, sales and marketing
- Growing demand for highly skilled workers
- Boost for growth in companies and regions which are technologically advanced and able to embrace the change (Crowley et al. 2021, Acemoglu et al. 2022)



iii LabFam

Changing task content of jobs, EU 1998-2014



- Changing demand for skills
- Growing disparities between high and low-to-middle skilled
- Larger turnover in the labour market
- Larger uncertainty (Dekker et al. 2017, Schwabe and Castellacci 2020)
- negative effects on mental health (Abeliansky et al. 2019)
- even higher mortality (Gihleb et al. 2021, O'Brien et al. 2022)

Source: Górka et al. (2017)

- Unclear gender effects
- Women more present in routine jobs (Brussevich et al. 2019)
- But also leaving these jobs more quickly (Black and Spitz-Oener 2010, Cortes et al. 2021)



 No / slightly positive effects on overall employment of women relative to men (Cortes et al. 2021) but women seem to be losing in terms of pay (Aksoy et al. 2019)





Innocenti et al. (2021): economic complexity (capacity to innovate, develop and create job opportunities) leads to higher fertility (IT)

Anelli et al. (2021): adoption of industrial robots \rightarrow more cohabitation and divorce, decline in marital fertility, increase in non-marital fertility (US)

_້ທ່ LabFam



Fertility effects of automation less pronounced in regions with:
H1: initially low levels of manufacturing
H2: low representation of men in manufacturing (relative to women)
H3: better educated populations
H4: more technologically advanced



Country coverage





Data (1993-2017)

EUROSTAT:

- Regional NUTS-2 fertility rates (total and age-specific)
- Regional employment structures by industry (NACE 2-digit)

INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF ROBOTICS (IFR)

• Robot stocks (country and industry-specific) at 3-digit since 1993

fully autonomous machines that do not require a human operator

_້ທໍ່ LabFam

Measurement

 $Exposure \ to \ robots_{r,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boxed{\frac{empl_{r,i,t_0}}{empl_{r,t_0}}} (\frac{robots_{i,t}}{empl_{i,t_0}})$

distribution of intitial employment at t0 across regions replacement of initial employment (at t0) in industry i by robots

iii LabFam

Source: Acemoglu and Restrepo (2020)

Modelling

 $fertility_{r,t} = \alpha \cdot Exposure \ to \ robots_{r,t-2} +$

$$+\beta \cdot Controls_{r,t-1} + \eta_r + v_t + \varepsilon_{r,t}$$

Controls:

- population age structure
- % highly educated
- ratio highly educated women to men
- women's economic activity rate

Year fixed effects

Regional fixed effects



Modelling: IV

 $fertility_{r,t} = \alpha \left\{ Exposure \ to \ robots_{r,t-2} \right\}$

empl_{r,i,to} robots_{i,t}

 $+\beta \cdot Controls_{r,t-1} + \eta_r + \nu_t + \varepsilon_{r,t}$

Overidentified IV model:

- Robot stocks instrumented with robots in {Germany, France, UK, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Norway and Finland} excluding the studied country
- In models for Czechia and Poland we additionally use US as an intstrument



Modelling: IV

fertility_{r,t} = α (Exposure to robots_{r,t-2}) × Moderator_{r,t-1}

 $+\beta \cdot Controls_{r,t-1} + \eta_r + v_t + \varepsilon_{r,t}$

Fertility effects less pronounced if:

- H1: initially low levels of manufacturing
- H2: higher initial representation of women in manufacturing (relative to men)
- H3: better educated populations
- H4: region more technologically advanced

Moderators:

- → % empl out of manufacturing in 1993
 - → % women vs men in manufacturing in 1993
- % highly educated
- % empl in techn and knowledge sector

Fertility effects of automation

Country	TFR	FR 20-24	FR 25-29	FR 30-34	FR 35-39	FR 40-44	FR 45+
Germany	ns	ns	ns	ns	-0.00011***	-0.00005***	ns
France	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Italy	-0.00118*	ns	-0.00090***	ns	ns	ns	ns
UK	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0.00039*	ns
Czechia & Poland	ns	NS	ns	ns	0.00025*	ns	ns

*** 1% ** 5% * 10%. Sample sizes: 680 observations for Germany, 440 for France, 400 for Italy, 700 for the UK, and 240 for Poland and Czechia jointly.

_້ທ່ LabFam

Fertility effects of automation # employment out of manufacturing

Country	TFR main effect	TFR interaction effect
Germany	-0.0022*	0.00003**
France	ns	ns
Italy	ns	ns
UK	-0.0223*	0.00031**
Czechia & Poland	0.0063	-0.00009*

*** 1% ** 5% * 10%. Sample sizes: 680 observations for Germany, 440 for France, 400 for Italy, 700 for the UK, and 240 for Poland and Czechia jointly.

Fertility effects of automation # employment out of manufacturing (int 1) # empl of women versus men in manufacturing (int 2)

Country	TFR	TFR	TFR	
ocumy	main effect	Int1	Int 2	
Germany	0.00079	0.00001	-0.0035***	
France	0.0049	-0.00002	-0.0068**	
Italy	0.0144***	-0.00011**	-0.0137***	
UK	-0.0378***	0.00042***	0.0187*	
Czechia & Poland	ns	ns	ns	

w LabFam

Fertility effects of automation #% highly educated

Country	TFR main effect	TFR interaction effect
Germany	-0.0016***	0.00005***
France	0.0015**	-0.00058**
Italy	-0.00292*	0.0001
UK	ns	ns
Czechia & Poland	ns	ns

*** 1% ** 5% * 10%. Sample sizes: 680 observations for Germany, 440 for France, 400 for Italy, 700 for the UK, and 240 for Poland and Czechia jointly.

Fertility effects of automation # empl in technology and knowledge sectors

Country	TFR main effect	TFR interaction effect
Germany	ns	ns
France	ns	ns
Italy	-0.00116*	0.000005
UK	ns	ns
Czechia & Poland	ns	ns

*** 1% ** 5% * 10%. Sample sizes: 680 observations for Germany, 440 for France, 400 for Italy, 700 for the UK, and 240 for Poland and Czechia jointly.

Conclusions

- Rather small effects of the long-term structural LM change driven by adoption of industrial robots
- Negative effects in regions with initial high level of manufacturing and low educated populations
- More negative effects in regions with initially high % women employed in manufacturing (!)



Outlook

- Explore the cross-country variation and role of country-specific factors
- Industrial robots only part of labour replacing technologies
- Deeper insight into fertility effects of other labour replacing vs augmenting technologies / changing demand for skills





POLSKIE POWROTY POLISH RETURNS





Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation



European Research Council



University of Warsaw Faculty of Economic Sciences

